INTRODUCTION

Internalizing disorders, including anxiety disorders and major depressive disorder (MDD), are highly prevalent and a major source of disability worldwide (LeWinn et al., 2014; Tromp et al., 2019). Socioeconomic disadvantage during childhood leads to an enduring risk for internalizing problems that persists into adulthood (Gilman, Kawachi, Fitzmaurice, & Buka, 2002; Wadsworth, Evans, Grant, Carter, & Duffy, 2016). Although previous studies have shed light on the neural mechanisms underlying these associations (Farah, 2017), this work has focused primarily on composites of socioeconomic status (SES) or broad indicators such as family income and parental education. Although it is now recommended that socioeconomic factors be examined separately (Duncan & Magnuson, 2012; Schenck-Fontaine & Panico, 2019), the studies that have done so have focused primarily on conventional indicators and not on other, more proximal indices, such as material hardship (Schenck-Fontaine & Panico, 2019). Research on these more proximal measures is needed to fully disentangle how socioeconomic disadvantage may impact the developing brain in ways that increase risk for internalizing problems (Gershoff, Aber, Raver, & Lennon, 2007; Neckerman, Garfinkel, Teitler, Waldfogel, & Wimer, 2016).
Material hardship captures the lived conditions of economic hardship and refers to difficulty affording basic resources, such as food, housing, utilities, and health care (Mayer & Jencks, 1989). Although families living in poverty are more likely to experience material hardship, families with incomes above the poverty threshold also experience material hardship (Gershoff et al., 2007; Zilanawala & Pilkauskas, 2012). Additionally, not all families living in poverty experience material hardship, in part because of variability in the generosity of the social safety net (Beverly, 2001; Iceland & Bauman, 2007). Thus, material hardship has been documented as a correlated but distinct construct from income and a more proximal reflection of lived economic hardship than family income. Although material hardship has been significantly associated with higher internalizing symptoms in children, even after accounting for family income (Shankar, Chung, & Frank, 2017; Slopen, Fitzmaurice, Williams, & Gilman, 2010; Sun, Li, Zhang, Bao, & Wang, 2015; Zilanawala & Pilkauskas, 2012), the neural mechanisms underlying these associations are not well understood. As such, the goal of this study was to examine the associations among material hardship, brain structure and connectivity, and internalizing symptoms in children.

1.1 Conceptual model guiding this study

Material hardship has been theorized to influence children's social-emotional development and risk for internalizing problems by increasing exposure to chronic stress (Chien & Mistry, 2013; Conger & Donnellan, 2007; Gershoff et al., 2007; Huang, Kim, & Sherraden, 2017; Sun et al., 2015). Consistent with the family stress model (Conger & Donnellan, 2007), material hardship has been found to increase parental stress, leading to negative parenting behavior, which in turn increases children's exposure to chronic stress and interferes with the development of social-emotional skills, such as emotion processing and regulation (Ashiabi & O'Neal, 2007; Gershoff et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2015; Wu & Schimmele, 2005). Difficulties with emotion processing and regulation have been found to link exposure to chronic stressors with internalizing problems. Taken together, material hardship may alter the development of frontolimbic circuitry underlying emotion processing and regulation and in turn lead to increases in children's internalizing symptoms (see Figure S1).

Key components of frontolimbic circuitry underlying emotion regulation include the uncinate fasciculus (UNC) and two of the gray matter regions it connects—namely, the medial orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) and amygdala (Catani, Howard, Pajevic, & Jones, 2002; Schmahmann et al., 2007). Variability in UNC microstructure has been associated with emotion regulation (Hein et al., 2018; Swartz, Carrasco, Wiggins, Thomason, & Monk, 2014; Zuurbier, Nikolova, Ahs, & Hariri, 2013) and implicated in internalizing disorders (Adluru et al., 2017; Ho et al., 2017; Tromp et al., 2019; Viglis, Vance, Cunnington, & Silk, 2017). The amygdala is a subcortical structure centrally involved in reactivity to emotional stimuli and threat detection (Davidson & Irwin, 1999; Davis & Whalen, 2001) and strongly implicated in internalizing disorders (Warnell, Pecukonis, & Redcay, 2018). The medial OFC (ventromedial prefrontal cortex or vmPFC) is heavily involved in emotion regulation and has been consistently implicated in internalizing disorders (Merz, He, & Noble, 2018; Schmaal et al., 2017). However, no work to date has examined these core components of frontolimbic circuitry in children in relation to both material hardship and internalizing symptoms.

1.2 Material hardship, UNC microstructure, and OFC and amygdala structure

In diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) studies, fractional anisotropy (FA) reflects the degree of directionality of water diffusion in white matter tracts, which indicates the microstructural properties of white matter tracts and in turn capacity for functional communication between connected brain regions (Beaulieu, 2002; Thomason & Thompson, 2011). Several studies have found associations between socioeconomic circumstances and FA in the UNC. However, findings have been mixed. Socioeconomic disadvantage has been associated with reduced FA in the UNC in children (Dufford & Kim, 2017) and adults (Gianaros, Marsland, Sheu, Erickson, & Verstynen, 2013), whereas greater food insecurity was associated with higher FA in the UNC in children and adolescents (Dennison et al., 2019). Yet another study failed to find a link between socioeconomic factors and UNC FA in a large sample of children and adolescents (Ursache & Noble, 2016).

In neuroanatomical studies of subcortical gray matter, socioeconomic factors have been similarly variably linked with amygdala volume. Socioeconomic disadvantage has been associated with smaller amygdala volume (Brody et al., 2017; Hanson et al., 2015; Luby et al., 2013; McDermott et al., 2019; Merz, Tottenham, & Noble, 2017), larger amygdala volume (Noble, Houston, Kan, & Sowell, 2012), or no difference in amygdala volume (Hanson, Chandra, Wolfe, & Pollak, 2011; Noble et al., 2015). One possibility is that these associations vary as a function of the timing or duration of socioeconomic disadvantage (McEwen, 2003; Merz et al., 2017).

Although socioeconomic factors have been consistently associated with prefrontal cortex (PFC) structure, the specific PFC regions where differences have been found have varied (Farah, 2017). Recent studies have examined cortical thickness and surface area separately, given that they are genetically and developmentally distinct (Panizzon et al., 2009; Raznahan et al., 2011). Two major studies have linked socioeconomic disadvantage with reduced OFC surface area in children and adolescents (McDermott et al., 2019; Noble et al., 2015). Findings for OFC thickness have been more mixed (Lawson, Duda, Avants, Wu, & Farah, 2013; Mackey et al., 2015; McDermott et al., 2019; Noble et al., 2015). To our knowledge, no work has focused on associations of material hardship with these indices of PFC–amygdala structure and microstructure in children.
1.3 | UNC microstructure and OFC and amygdala structure and internalizing problems

Lower FA in the UNC has been significantly associated with internalizing disorders in children and adolescents (Adluru et al., 2017; Cullen et al., 2010; Ho et al., 2017; LeWinn et al., 2014; Tromp et al., 2019; Vilgis et al., 2017). Although most studies have used clinical samples and compared those with and without an internalizing disorder, some studies have focused on typically developing children and adolescents (Mohamed Ali, Vandermeer, Sheikh, Joannis, & Hayden, 2018). It is also noteworthy that some studies have found that higher FA in the UNC is associated with adolescent depression (Aghajani et al., 2014; Bracht, Linden, & Keedwell, 2015; Kircanski et al., 2019).

Similarly, internalizing disorders or symptoms have been linked to amygdala size, although the directionality is again unclear. Both larger (Albaugh et al., 2017; De Bellis et al., 2000; van der Plas, Boes, Wemmie, Tranel, & Nopoulos, 2010; Qin et al., 2014) and smaller amygdala volumes (Merz et al., 2017; Milham et al., 2005; Mueller et al., 2013; Rosso et al., 2005; Strawn et al., 2015; Wannell et al., 2018) have been associated with internalizing symptoms and disorders, and some studies have failed to find significant associations (Koolschijn, van Ijzendoorn, Bakermans-Kranenburg, & Crone, 2013; Merz et al., 2018). Duration of the internalizing disorder or the number of episodes may partially explain these discrepancies (McEwen, 2003).

Internalizing problems tend to be associated with structural differences in the medial OFC (vmPFC), although the specific patterns of these associations are inconsistent. A large meta-analysis found that adolescents with MDD had reduced surface area in the medial OFC (Schmaal et al., 2017), and another study linked general anxiety symptoms with decreased surface area in OFC (Newman et al., 2015). Pediatric and adolescent MDD (or depressive symptoms) have been associated with reduced OFC thickness in some studies (Marrus et al., 2015; Merz et al., 2018; Peterson et al., 2009) but not others (Schmaal et al., 2017; Whittle et al., 2014), and pediatric anxiety disorders have been associated with increased OFC thickness (Gold et al., 2017; Strawn et al., 2014). Given that most studies have focused on adults and adolescents with internalizing disorders, more research is needed that focuses on typically developing children to understand patterns of associations that may precede the onset of an internalizing disorder (Keenan et al., 2008).

Taken together, altered structural development of PFC–amygdala circuitry underlying emotion processing and regulation may represent one mechanism through which material hardship increases risk for internalizing disorders in children (see Figure S1). More specifically, material hardship may alter UNC microstructure and medial OFC structure, leading to weaker downregulation of emotional reactivity governed by the amygdala (Hein et al., 2018; Swartz et al., 2014; Zuurbier et al., 2013) and in turn greater vulnerability to internalizing disorders. Material hardship may simultaneously increase amygdala reactivity to negative emotional stimuli, concomitant with altered amygdala volume, also resulting in greater risk for internalizing problems (Gaffrey, Barch, Singer, Shenoy, & Luby, 2013). To our knowledge, no work has examined whether these core components of PFC–amygdala circuitry mediate associations between material hardship and internalizing symptoms in children.

1.4 | Sex differences in these associations

During adolescence, internalizing disorders become much more prevalent in girls compared to boys (Hankin et al., 1998). The degree to which stressors increase anxiety and depressive symptoms has been found to vary by sex, with girls more likely to show stress-related increases in these symptoms (Hodes & Epperson, 2019; Oldehinkel & Bouma, 2011). Sex differences in the effects of stressors, such as material hardship, on PFC–amygdala circuitry are less well understood. Sex differences have been found in the associations between adverse, stressful experiences, and PFC–amygdala structure and connectivity (Burghy et al., 2012; Whittle et al., 2009, 2016) and between PFC–amygdala structure and connectivity and internalizing disorders (Rubinow & Schmidt, 2019; Tromp et al., 2019; Whittle et al., 2014), although consistent patterns have not yet been identified. Thus, research is needed that investigates sex differences in associations among material hardship, PFC–amygdala circuitry, and internalizing symptoms in children.

1.5 | Current study

The goal of this study was to investigate associations among material hardship, core components of PFC–amygdala circuitry underlying emotion processing and regulation (UNC FA, medial OFC surface area, amygdala volume), and internalizing symptoms, and whether these indices mediated the association between material hardship and internalizing symptoms. Participants were 5–9-year-old children (N = 94; 61% female) from socioeconomically diverse families. Parents completed questionnaires assessing material hardship and children’s internalizing symptoms. Children participated in an MRI scanning session that included T1- and diffusion-weighted sequences. UNC FA (n = 58), medial OFC surface area (n = 51), and amygdala gray matter volume (n = 51) were extracted. Given evidence that medial OFC surface area is associated with both socioeconomic disadvantage (McDermott et al., 2019; Noble et al., 2015) and internalizing disorders (Newman et al., 2015; Schmaal et al., 2017), analyses of medial OFC structure focused on cortical surface area rather than thickness.

We hypothesized that higher material hardship would be significantly associated with greater internalizing symptoms in children, replicating past work (Sun et al., 2015; Zilanawala & Pikkauskas, 2012). At the neural level, our a priori hypotheses centered on the UNC and two of the gray matter regions it connects, namely the medial OFC and amygdala. We expected that material hardship would be associated with UNC FA, medial OFC surface area, and amygdala volume, which would in turn be associated with internalizing symptoms.
Directionality could not be specified for these hypotheses due to inconsistencies in the existing research, as detailed above (Dennison et al., 2019; Dufford & Kim, 2017; Kircanski et al., 2019; LeWinn et al., 2014). Finally, we expected these associations to be stronger in girls compared to boys (Hodes & Epperson, 2019; Oldehinkel & Bouma, 2011).

To examine whether results were specific to material hardship, we conducted supplemental analyses of family income-to-needs ratio. We expected that material hardship would be more strongly associated with internalizing symptoms, UNC microstructure, and OFC and amygdala structure compared to family income-to-needs ratio. Material hardship reflects the lived conditions of socioeconomic disadvantage which would be expected to more directly increase parental stress. In comparison, lower family income-to-needs ratio may be a more distal influence on parental stress (Conger & Donnellan, 2007). Although our main diffusion measure of interest was FA, given that it is a summary and non-specific measure of white matter microstructure (Song et al., 2002), we also examined axial diffusivity (AD; water diffusivity along the axon), radial diffusivity (RD; water diffusivity perpendicular to the axon) and mean diffusivity (MD; overall magnitude of diffusion) to interpret our results with greater specificity (Budde, Xie, Cross, & Song, 2009; Hatton et al., 2011; Winklewski et al., 2018).

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Participants

2.1.1 | Recruitment

Participants were recruited in New York, New York through local family events and posting flyers in the neighborhood. Families were recruited to generate a socioeconomically diverse sample. Participants were screened for eligibility over the phone. Inclusion criteria for children included: between 5 and 9 years of age, born after 37 gestational weeks, product of a singleton pregnancy, no history of medical or psychiatric conditions, English as the primary language spoken in the home, and no contraindications for MRI scanning.

2.1.2 | Sample characteristics

Participants ranged in age from 5.06 to 9.87 years ($N = 94$; 61% female). Parental education ranged from 6.50 to 20.00 years, and family income-to-needs ratio ranged from 0.17 to 15.21. Fifty percent were Hispanic/Latino; 31% were African American, non-Hispanic/Latino; and 14% were European American, non-Hispanic/Latino. Thirty percent of the families had household incomes below the US poverty threshold (see Table 1).

2.2 | Procedure and sample sizes

Families took part in two study visits within a month. During the first visit, parents ($N = 94$) completed questionnaires which included items on socioeconomic background and child internalizing symptoms. Eighty-five children were enrolled in the MRI portion of the study and participated in a mock MRI scan. During the second visit, 66 children participated in an actual MRI scanning session. MRI data were not acquired if the child was afraid, fidgety, or uninterested during the mock scan ($n = 7$) or if the child or family decided not to participate in the actual MRI scan following the mock scan ($n = 12$). T1-weighted MRI data were acquired for all 66 children. Diffusion-weighted imaging data were acquired for 61 children, as five children discontinued their scanning session prior to the diffusion-weighted sequence. There were no significant differences in material hardship, $t(92) = 0.46, p = .64$, internalizing symptoms, $t(82) = −0.30, p = .76$, or family income-to-needs ratio.

### TABLE 1 Descriptive statistics for sample characteristics ($N = 94$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child age (years)</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family income-to-needs ratio</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>2.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental education (years)</td>
<td>14.14</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child sex (female)</td>
<td>60.64</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child race/ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American, non-Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>30.85</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European American, non-Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>13.83</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family income below US poverty threshold</td>
<td>29.79</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Parental education reflects educational attainment averaged across parents.

*Income-to-needs ratio <1.00.*
MRI acquisition and processing

MRI data were acquired on a 3-Tesla General Electric (GE) Discovery MR750 scanner with a 32-channel head coil. Whole brain DTI data were acquired using a single-shot spin echo planar imaging sequence with the following parameters: 60 axial slices, 2.5 mm slice thickness, TR = 15,700 ms, TE = 86.4 ms, FOV (x) = 24 mm, FOV (y) = 24 mm, matrix size = 132 x 128 (machine-interpolated to 256 x 256 for post-processing), voxel size = 0.94 mm x 0.94 mm x 2.5 mm. The diffusion-weighted images were acquired along 15 non-collinear directions with b = 1,000 s/mm². Three baseline images with b = 0 s/mm² were also acquired. Two trained research assistants visually inspected the raw diffusion-weighted images, eddy current corrected diffusion-weighted images, and color encoded FA images (He et al., 2014). This resulted in the exclusion of three participants' DTI data due to motion artifacts. Thus, 58 children had usable DTI data.

Images were processed using FMRIB Software Library (FSL) version 5.0.11 (Oxford, UK; Smith et al., 2004). DTI acquisitions were corrected for subject motion, eddy current-induced distortion, outlier replacement, and within-volume (or “slice-to-volume”) movement using FSL Eddy (Andersson et al., 2017; Andersson, Graham, Zsoldos, & Sotiropoulos, 2016). Brain Extraction Tool (Smith, 2002) was used to extract a brain mask from the eddy corrected image to exclude non-brain tissue. FSL DTIFIT was used to fit diffusion tensors at each voxel, and the FA image was derived from the fitted diffusion tensors. Data were then processed using tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS; Smith et al., 2006). Since the subjects were all young children, the adult-derived target image (FMRIB58_FA) was inappropriate for registering the FA image from the subject’s native space to the template space. Therefore, we automatically identified the most representative one as the target image from all subjects, and the target image was then affine aligned into MN152 standard space. Each FA image was then transformed into standard space by combining the nonlinear transform to the target FA image with the affine transform from that target to the standard space. A skeleton of white matter tracts that were common to all participants was created by thinning the mean FA image using a threshold of 0.2. Nonlinear warps and skeleton projection were then also applied to MD, RD, and AD images. The Johns Hopkins University (JHU) white matter tractography atlas (Wakana et al., 2007) was used to quantify mean FA for the right and left UNC from the skeletonized FA image. As right and left UNC FA were significantly correlated, r = .80, p < .0001, and we did not have a priori hypotheses about laterality, we averaged UNC FA values across the left and right hemispheres. UNC MD, RD, and AD values were also extracted and averaged across the right and left hemispheres.

2.3.1 | T1 weighted

Anatomical imaging data were acquired using a high-resolution T1-weighted fast spoiled gradient echo sequence (TR = 71 ms; TE = 2.7 ms; TI = 500 ms; flip angle = 11 degrees; 176 sagittal slices; 1.0 mm slice thickness; FOV = 25 cm; inplane resolution = 1.0 by 1.0 mm). All images were visually inspected for motion artifacts and ghosting, resulting in the exclusion of 15 participants’ data from analyses. There was no manual editing of imaging data that were deemed usable.

Cortical reconstruction and volumetric segmentation were performed using standard automated procedures in FreeSurfer (version 6.0; http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/; Dale, Fischl, & Sereno, 1999; Fischl & Dale, 2000; Fischl et al., 2004). The cortex was parcellated into gyral-based regions based on the Desikan-Killiany atlas (Desikan et al., 2006). Cortical surface area was calculated as the sum of the area of the vertices falling within a given region. Surface area in the left and right medial OFC was extracted. As we did not have a priori hypotheses about laterality, and left and right medial OFC surface area were significantly correlated, r = .72, p < .001, surface area was summed across the left and right hemispheres.

Automated segmentation of subcortical volumes in FreeSurfer has been shown to be robust to anatomic variability and to have accuracy comparable to manual labeling techniques (Fischl et al., 2002; Makowski et al., 2018). All segmentations of the amygdala passed visual inspection for major errors. As right and left amygdala volume were significantly correlated, r = .67, p < .0001, and we did not have a priori hypotheses about laterality, amygdala volume was summed across the right and left hemispheres.

2.4 | Measures

2.4.1 | Income-to-needs ratio

Parents reported their annual household income and the number of adults and children in the household. The income-to-needs ratio was calculated by dividing household income by the poverty threshold for the size of the family.

2.4.2 | Material hardship

The Material Deprivation Scale (Pilkauskas, Currie, & Garfinkel, 2012) is a 14-item survey which asks parents if they have experienced hardships in paying bills (e.g., rent, utilities), providing enough food for their family, affording medical care, and maintaining adequate housing in the last year. Parents responded to each item on a binary scale (yes = 1; no = 0), and affirmative answers were summed to create a total score. Higher scores indicate greater material hardship (Cronbach’s α = 0.77). Material hardship was significantly inversely associated with income-to-needs ratio, r = -.36, p < .001.
2.4.3 | Child internalizing symptoms

Parents completed the Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scale–Parent Version (RCADS-P; Chorpita, Moffitt, & Gray, 2005), a 47-item questionnaire assessing internalizing symptoms in children between 6 and 18 years old. Parents rate each item on a 4-point scale ranging from 0 (never) to 3 (always). The total score (Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.90$) was used in this study. The RCADS-P has been shown to demonstrate adequate internal consistency and validity (Ebesutani, Tottenham, & Chorpita, 2015).

The RCADS-P was added to the protocol once a number of families had already participated. More specifically, 36% ($n = 30$) of parents completed the RCADS-P over the phone on a day after the MRI scan, while 64% ($n = 54$) of parents did so during the first testing session as described above. All analyses of children’s internalizing symptoms accounted for when the RCADS-P was completed.

2.5 | Statistical analyses

Using SAS (version 9.3), multiple linear regression was conducted to examine the associations of material hardship with children’s internalizing symptoms, UNC FA, medial OFC surface area, and amygdala volume. We then used multiple linear regression to examine associations of children’s UNC FA, medial OFC surface area, and amygdala volume with their internalizing symptoms. Mediation models were run to examine whether UNC FA, medial OFC surface area, or amygdala volume mediated the association between material hardship and internalizing symptoms. The significance of the mediated or indirect effect was tested using bias-corrected bootstrapping via the PROCESS macro in SAS (Hayes, 2013; Preacher & Hayes, 2008). To test the a priori hypothesis that these associations would be stronger in girls, these analyses were then run in boys and girls separately. The threshold for statistical significance was $p < .05$.

Child age, sex, and family income-to-needs ratio were included as covariates in these regression models. Whole brain volume was also included in analyses of amygdala volume. Time of RCADS-P completion was included as a covariate in analyses of internalizing symptoms. Given that there were no significant racial/ethnic differences in UNC FA, medial OFC surface area, amygdala volume or internalizing symptoms (all $p$’s = .36–.64), race/ethnicity was not included as a covariate. Data points that were more than three SDs above the mean for internalizing symptoms ($n = 1$) or material hardship ($n = 1$) were Winsorized. Given that family material hardship and income are correlated but distinct constructs and families with varying household incomes may experience material hardship, analyses of material hardship controlled for family income-to-needs ratio, as recommended in recent publications (Schneck-Fontaine & Panico, 2019). To control for multiple comparisons, false discovery rate (FDR) correction (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995) was applied (via PROC MULTTEST in SAS) to analyses of associations of material hardship with UNC FA, medial OFC surface area, and amygdala volume ($\alpha = 0.05$). When significant differences in UNC FA were found, follow-up analyses of AD, RD, and MD in the UNC were conducted.

3 | RESULTS

Descriptive statistics and zero-order correlations for material hardship, UNC FA, medial OFC surface area, amygdala volume, and internalizing symptoms are provided in Table 2. Material hardship was significantly positively correlated with internalizing symptoms in children.
3.1 | Material hardship and internalizing symptoms

Greater material hardship was significantly associated with higher internalizing symptoms in children, $\beta = 0.28$, $p = .02$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.07$ (see Figure 1).

3.2 | Material hardship, UNC microstructure, and medial OFC and amygdala structure

Higher material hardship was significantly associated with lower UNC FA, $\beta = -0.29$, $p = .03$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.09$ (see Figure 2), and smaller amygdala volume, $\beta = -0.29$, $p = .01$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.15$ (see Figure 3), but not significantly associated with medial OFC surface area, $\beta = 0.06$, $p = .68$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.004$. After FDR correction for multiple comparisons, material hardship remained significantly associated with UNC FA (FDR-corrected $p = .04$) and amygdala volume (FDR-corrected $p = .02$). Figure 4 shows representative images of the uncinate fasciculus and amygdala.

FIGURE 1 Greater material hardship was significantly associated with higher internalizing symptoms in children. Regression analyses controlled for age, sex, family income-to-needs ratio and when the RCADS-P was completed. RCADS-P, Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scale–Parent Version

FIGURE 2 Greater material hardship was significantly associated with lower fractional anisotropy (FA) in the uncinate fasciculus

FIGURE 3 Greater material hardship was significantly associated with smaller amygdala volume (mm$^3$)

3.3 | UNC microstructure, medial OFC and amygdala structure, and internalizing symptoms

UNC FA, medial OFC surface area, and amygdala volume were not significantly associated with children’s internalizing symptoms ($p = .28–.66$). UNC FA, medial OFC surface area, and amygdala volume did not significantly mediate the association between material hardship and internalizing symptoms.

3.4 | Sex differences

Material hardship-by-sex interactions for indices of PFC–amygdala circuitry were not significant, likely due to the relatively small sample size. However, this interaction for the UNC had a small effect size ($\eta_p^2 = 0.02$)

FIGURE 4 Representative images of the uncinate fasciculus and amygdala overlaid on the MNI152 T1 image are presented for visualization purposes. The amygdala is displayed in green and the uncinate fasciculus is displayed in red and blue. Note that although we extracted amygdala volume in local subject space, we show the amygdala in MNI space for visualization purposes
whereas for the amygdala and medial OFC it had negligible effect sizes ($\eta^2_p = 0.00$–0.001). The UNC FA/OFC surface area/amygdala volume-by-sex interactions were not significant, but the interaction had a medium effect size for the UNC ($\eta^2_p = 0.05$) compared to negligible effect sizes for the amygdala ($\eta^2_p = 0.01$) and medial OFC ($\eta^2_p = 0.001$). These results coupled with our a priori hypotheses about sex differences supported the analysis of associations among material hardship, UNC FA, and internalizing symptoms separately in boys and girls. Such analyses revealed that greater material hardship was significantly associated with lower UNC FA in girls ($n = 35$), $\beta = -0.42$, $p = .02$, $\eta^2_p = 0.17$, but not boys ($n = 23$), $\beta = -0.09$, $p = .66$, $\eta^2_p = 0.01$. UNC FA was not significantly associated with internalizing symptoms in girls or boys. Nonetheless, the indirect effect between material hardship and internalizing symptoms via UNC FA was significant for girls ($n = 32$), $ab = 0.12$, $SE = 0.10$, 95% CI: [0.004, 0.42], but not boys. In girls, greater material hardship was associated with lower UNC FA, which was in turn associated with higher internalizing symptoms (see Figure 5). To rule out alternative interpretations, mediation models were run in which the predictor and mediator were switched and the mediator and outcome were switched. Neither of these alternative models yielded significant indirect effects.

### Specificity of results to material hardship

Family income-to-needs ratio was not significantly associated with internalizing symptoms, $\beta = 0.11$, $p = .33$, UNC FA, $\beta = -0.23$, $p = .08$, medial OFC surface area, $\beta = 0.26$, $p = .06$, or amygdala volume, $\beta = -0.10$, $p = .34$.

### Material hardship and RD, AD, and MD in the UNC

Greater material hardship was significantly associated with lower AD in the UNC, $\beta = -0.45$, $p = .002$, $\eta^2_p = 0.17$, but not significantly associated with RD in the UNC, $\beta = 0.13$, $p = .33$, $\eta^2_p = 0.02$, or MD in the UNC, $\beta = -0.17$, $p = .25$, $\eta^2_p = 0.03$. Exploratory whole brain voxel-based analyses indicated material hardship was not significantly associated with FA values across the brain after correction for multiple comparisons at $p < .05$.

### DISCUSSION

The goals of this study were to examine associations among material hardship, core components of PFC-amygdala circuitry underlying emotion processing and regulation (UNC microstructure, medial OFC surface area, amygdala volume), and internalizing symptoms in children, and whether these indices of PFC-amygdala structure mediated associations between material hardship and internalizing symptoms. Material hardship refers to difficulty affording basic necessities, such as food and housing, capturing the lived conditions of economic hardship (Mayer & Jencks, 1989; Schenck-Fontaine & Panico, 2019). Findings indicated that greater material hardship was significantly associated with higher internalizing symptoms in children, replicating past work (Sun et al., 2015; Zilanawala & Pilkauskas, 2012). Greater material hardship was also significantly associated with lower FA in the UNC, consistent with previous studies of socioeconomic factors (Dufford & Kim, 2017; Gianaros et al., 2013). Lower FA in the UNC may indicate weaker connectivity and communication between PFC regions and anterior temporal regions, such as the amygdala, and lower ability to downregulate amygdala reactivity (Hein et al., 2018; Swartz et al., 2014) and in turn negative emotions (Zuurbier et al., 2013). Greater material hardship was also significantly associated with smaller amygdala volume in children, consistent with previous work on family income and parental education (Brody et al., 2017; Hanson et al., 2015; Luby et al., 2013; McDermott et al., 2019; Merz et al., 2017). Smaller amygdala volume has been associated with greater reactivity to negative emotional stimuli (e.g., high fearfulness or stress reactivity) in animal models (Pedraza et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2008) and human studies (Foell et al., 2019). Furthermore, there is evidence of an inverse association between amygdala volume and amygdala reactivity to negative emotional stimuli (e.g., threat cues, stressors) in humans (Gianaros et al., 2008; Kalmar et al., 2009) and in animals (Pedraza et al., 2014). Taken together, the combination of heightened emotional reactivity and reduced emotion regulation could lead to more frequent or intense experiences of negative emotion and possibly increased risk for internalizing problems. Material hardship was more strongly associated with UNC FA and amygdala volume than family income-to-needs ratio, consistent with the possibility that it has more proximal or independent associations with these outcomes (Conger & Donnellan, 2007; Schenck-Fontaine & Panico, 2019). Material hardship may lead to altered UNC microstructure and amygdala volume through several proximal mechanisms. Material hardship has been associated with nutritional deficiencies (Rose, 1999; Rose & Oliveira, 1997), increased exposure to environmental toxins (Chuang, Callahan, Lyu,
et al., 2017; Cullen et al., 2010; De Bellis et al., 2000; Ho et al., 2017; and adolescents, although the directionality of these associations are significantly associated with internalizing symptoms in children. Previous research has linked these measures of PFC–amygdala connectivity and animal models of chronic stress (McEwen, Nasca, & Gray, 2016), material hardship may increase parental stress, leading to lower quality parental care, which in turn exposes children to chronic stress and alters their PFC–amygdala circuitry (see Figure 5; Chien & Mistry, 2013; Gershoff et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2015). Research is needed to tease apart the roles of these different possible mechanisms.

Relatedly, specific types of material hardship (e.g., food insecurity, housing instability, unmet medical needs, utility shut-offs) have been differentially associated with children’s health and developmental outcomes (Yoo, Slack, & Holl, 2009; Zilanawala & Pilkauskas, 2012). Research is needed to investigate the roles of these specific types of material hardship and their potentially unique contributions to children’s brain structure and connectivity.

Although significant interactions were not found between material hardship and sex, we analyzed UNC FA separately in boys and girls to test our a priori hypothesis that associations would be stronger in girls. In girls, UNC FA significantly mediated the association between material hardship and internalizing symptoms. Greater material hardship was associated with lower UNC FA, which was in turn associated with higher internalizing symptoms. It is possible that during middle childhood, girls are particularly susceptible to the effects of chronic stress on PFC–amygdala circuitry, consistent with recent reviews (Hodes & Epperson, 2019; Oldehinkel & Bouma, 2011). In girls, material hardship may reduce FA in the UNC, weakening the ability of the PFC to downregulate amygdala reactivity to negative emotional stimuli and increasing risk for internalizing disorders (Hein et al., 2018; Swartz et al., 2014; Zuurbier et al., 2013). However, it is important to note the small sample size and cross-sectional design of this study.

UNC FA and medial OFC and amygdala structure were not significantly associated with internalizing symptoms in children. Previous research has linked these measures of PFC–amygdala structure with internalizing disorders and symptoms in children and adolescents, although the directionality of these associations has been inconsistent across studies (Adluru et al., 2017; Albaugh et al., 2017; Cullen et al., 2010; De Bellis et al., 2000; Ho et al., 2017; LeWinn et al., 2014; Merz et al., 2017; Milham et al., 2005; Mueller et al., 2013; van der Plas et al., 2010; Qin et al., 2014; Rosso et al., 2005; Strawn et al., 2015; Tromp et al., 2019; Vilgis et al., 2017; Warnell et al., 2018). These associations have been found in clinical samples as well as typically developing children and adolescents (Merz et al., 2017; Mohamed Ali et al., 2018). It is possible that these associations vary by age (McEwen, 2003) or are stronger and more consistent for biobehavioral indices (e.g., threat sensitivity) associated with internalizing symptoms (Foell et al., 2019). These possibilities should be investigated in future work.

At the cellular level, lower FA may reflect a number of processes, including lower myelination, coherence in orientation, and/or density of fibers (Song et al., 2002). In addition to lower FA, material hardship was significantly associated with lower AD in the UNC. While there is more to understand about the neurobiological underpinnings of the diffusion signal, evidence suggests that decreases in AD may reflect axonal disorganization (Budde et al., 2009; Hatton et al., 2018; Klawiter et al., 2011; Winklewski et al., 2018). DTI methods are limited with regard to specifying the underlying cellular processes (Jones, Knösche, & Turner, 2013). Future research using complementary MRI techniques is needed to more fully understand the cellular mechanisms that may be driving differences in white matter microstructure.

This study had a number of strengths, including the use of a multi-modal neuroimaging approach, strong psychometric properties of the questionnaire measures, hypotheses derived from prior theoretical and empirical work, focus on novel research questions, and analyses accounting for an array of potentially confounding factors. Several limitations of this study should also be taken into account when interpreting the results. First, given that this study employed a cross-sectional, correlational design, inferences about developmental change or causality cannot be made. Second, researchers have raised concerns regarding potential biases when testing mediation models using data from cross-sectional studies (Cole & Maxwell, 2003; Maxwell & Cole, 2007). Such analyses can still be valuable in terms of revealing possible mechanisms when the mediation model being tested is theoretically and empirically based (Shrout, 2011). Nonetheless, research is needed that tests these mediation models using longitudinal data. Third, small sample size may have limited our power to detect significant interactions for the UNC. Given that effect sizes for those interactions were small to medium, future studies should test such interactions using larger samples. Fourth, material hardship was measured through parent report, rather than an objective assessment of actual lived conditions. Therefore, this measure may confound economic stress and material hardship. Fifth, the strength of the association between material hardship and children’s internalizing symptoms may have been influenced by shared method variance. Sixth, this study focused on the UNC and two of the gray matter regions it connects. Future studies should investigate additional neural networks in terms of their potential mechanistic role in linking material hardship with elevated internalizing symptoms in children. Indeed, other neural systems that have been associated with both socioeconomic disadvantage and internalizing problems could play roles in these mechanisms (Lambert, King, Monahan, & McLaughlin, 2017). Future studies should also examine whether associations of material hardship with UNC FA and amygdala volume may be specific to the right or left hemispheres. Such analyses would add to our understanding of how material hardship may affect the neural circuitry underlying emotion processing and regulation.
In conclusion, this study is the first to reveal that material hardship may be associated with lower PFC–amygdala structural connectivity and amygdala volume in children. These neural networks have been linked with reduced emotion regulation and greater emotional reactivity. In girls, lower structural connectivity between PFC regions and medial temporal regions (e.g., amygdala) may partially explain associations between material hardship and internalizing symptoms. These findings have practice and policy implications, including underscoring the importance of continued state and federal funding for income-support and safety net programs, which have been found to reduce families’ experiences of material hardship and improve children’s health outcomes (Black et al., 2004; Frank et al., 2006; Meyers et al., 2005; Pilkauskas et al., 2012). Programs and policies that reduce material hardship during childhood may prevent alterations in the development of emotion processing and regulatory neural networks that increase the risk for mental health problems.
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